IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.
CRIMINAL APPLICATION No.2356/2007

Tanaji Laxman Kharat ..Applicant.

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent.

Mr Haldankar i/b G. M. Savagave, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr Rajesh More, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA,J.
DATED: 1.8. 2007.

P.C.:-

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the State.

2. Perused the application and the material available on record.

3. The applicant is seeking bail in C.R. No. 38 of 2007 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 302, 201, 452, read with Section 149 of the I.P.C. with Mangalwedha Police Station. The F.I.R., discloses that on 11.3.2007 at about 10.00 a.m. the accused-applicant had come to the house of the complainant in a white colour jeep and had enquired about her husband. As her husband was not in the house, so he went away by abusing the complainant. The F.I.R. further discloses that during night time the complainant Sangita Rokade came out of the house after hearing some shouts and saw the

present accused-applicant while running away from the scene of offence and she has also witnessed setting her father-in-law (i.e.the deceased) on fire who was shouting. Thus, there is prima facie material to connect the applicant with the offence alleged against him.

4. At this juncture, it would be relevant to note that the learned Sessions Judge, Pandharpur has already rejected the bail application of the applicant vide its order dated 5.7.2007 in Misc.Cri.Appln. No. 192 of 2007,specifically, mentioning the role played by the present applicant while committing the alleged offence.

5. In the above view of the matter, I am not inclined to grant bail as prayed. In the result, bail application is rejected.

6. Any observation made in this order shall not be construed as any finding or any expression of opinion on the merit of the case at the time of trial.

7. The application stands disposed of.

(V.C. DAGA,J.)